

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/642,724	WANG, LI-MING	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph C. Merek	3727	

All Participants:

(1) Joseph C. Merek.

(2) David I. Klein.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3/7/05
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1 and 7

Prior art documents discussed:


2005/0017016A1 Lombardi

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed that claim 7 would be deleted since it recites that the soldering section is cylindrical which is already in claim 2. It was also agreed that claim 1 would be amended to recite that the sealing washer is in contact with the inner and upper chambers and that the recession is in open communication with the hole in the inward protrusion. .